

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/989,352 12/12/97 STEPHEN B. M 1147-97 **EXAMINER** IM62/0830 CHARLES N QUINN COOLEY, C DANN DORFMAN HERRELL AND SKILLMAN P C **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 720 16 1601 MARKET STREET 1723 PHILADEPHIA PA 19103 **DATE MAILED:** 08/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/989,352 Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Examiner

Charles E. Cooley

1723

Maguire



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) <u>54-58</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
Application Papers	
	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
igtherightarrow The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
\square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ι	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
☐ received in this national stage application from the I	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
	4 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4
Attachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
	ds).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
	8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/989,352

Art Unit: 1723

OFFICE ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I - claims 1-53 in Paper No. 15 is 1. acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is improper. This is not found persuasive because Group I and Group II are clearly related as combination and subcombination and restriction is proper between the groups as outlined in MPEP 806.05(c).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 54-58 are thereby withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 2. 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 15.

Information Disclosure Statement

Note the attached PTO-1449 forms (8 sheets) submitted with the Information 3. Disclosure Statements.

Drawings

Applicant should verify that (1) all reference characters in the drawings are 4. described in the detailed description portion of the specification and (2) all reference

Art Unit: 1723

characters mentioned in the specification are included in the appropriate drawing Figure(s) as required by 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5).

Specification

- The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the 5. presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 6.
- a. the missing application data on page 1, first paragraph of the specification should be provided.
- b. Page 1, last line appears to be missing text since the first line on page 2 begins a new sentence.
 - c. Page 2, last line: it appears "component" should be --compartment--.
 - **d.** Page 24, line 4: the status of the referenced application should be updated. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. The abstract is acceptable.
- 8. The title is acceptable.

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Objections

Claim 36 is objected to because in line 19, it appears "an" should be --a--. 9. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 6, 15-18, 28, 39-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second 10. paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6, line 2: "said vertically extending surfaces" lacks antecedent basis change to --said upwardly extending surfaces--.

aV. Claim 15, line 4: "said valve and actuating means" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 16, line 2: does the "valve means" have any relationship to the material _ℓ ¬ dispensing means of claim 9?

Claim 17, line 1: "said actuating means" lacks antecedent basis; in line 3, "said valve" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 1723

المعالمة ال

ol!

Claim 28: "said piston" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 39: "said central part of said weigh bin" and "said aperture uncovering position" lack antecedent basis.

Claim 40: "said central part of said weigh bin" and "said aperture uncovering position" lack antecedent basis.

Claim 41 is vague and incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The structural cooperative relationship between the panel and the frame is unclear. Is the open side recited in section (a) of the claim being closed by the panel? It appears language such as --at the open side-should be inserted after "enclosure" in line 13 to clarify the invention.

Claim 41, lines 16-18: all occurrences of "said mixer" lack antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 42 is written in an awkward and confusing manner. The terms "said means connecting said mixer to said panel", "said shaft", and "said mixer" all lack antecedent basis.

Claim 43, line 1: "said connection means" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 46: "said mixer axis" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 47: "said mixer" lacks antecedent basis.

Each pending claim should be thoroughly reviewed such that these and any 11. other informalities are corrected so the claims may particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 08/989,352

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-9 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated 13. by the photographs of UNA-DYN gravimetric blender (circa 1993).

Note the recited structure in the three photographs of the UNA-DYN gravimetric blender.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 39-53 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the 14. rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- Claims 15-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 15. U.S.C. § 112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. Claims 10-14, 19-27, and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 17. Claims 36-38 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 08/989,352 Page 8

Art Unit: 1723

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited prior art discloses weigh bin blenders and feed devices for extruders.

- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Charles Cooley whose telephone number is \$\pi\$ (703) 308-0112.
- 20. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is \$\pi\$ (703) 308-0651.

Dated: 25 August 2000

Charles Cooley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723